UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,450	12/04/2003 Andrew L. Adamiecki		Adamiecki 3-7	7130
22186 7590 02/19/2008 MENDELSOHN AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. 1500 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUTIE 405			EXAMINER	
			PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

е	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/727,450	ADAMIECKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
T. 444 NO DATE (11)	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dece	ember 4 th , 2003.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>December 4th, 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objection of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

10/727,450 Art Unit: 2611

ř

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-29 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5, 7-10, 15-16 (method) & 18-19, 21-24, 27 (system) & 29 (apparatus)
 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant
 Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Ginzburg et al. (5,408,500).

In regards to Claims 1, 15, 18 & 29, the AAPA discloses a method (system/apparatus)) of processing data signals (Specification, Page 1, lines 9-28) comprising: transmitting the data signal through an electrical backplane (Specification, Page 1, lines 9-20) {Interpretation: The reference discloses transmitting data over multi-layer board called high-speed backplane}. However, the AAPA does not disclose receiving the data signal after being transmitted through the electrical backplane, wherein the received data signal is interpreted as a duobinary data signal.

Ginzburg discloses transmitting a duobinary modulated signal over electrical transmission lines and further receiving the duobinary signals from the transmission line (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Column 3, lines 20-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Ginzburg

10/727,450 Art Unit: 2611

teaches the received data signal is interpreted as a duobinary data signal and this is implemented as a method of transmission of data over an electrical backplane as described in the AAPA so as to be able to minimize the effects of electromagnetic interference and intersymbol interference on the data transmitted over unshielded transmission lines.

In regards to Claim 2, the AAPA in view of Ginzburg discloses a method (system) of processing data signals as described above. Ginzburg further discloses precoding a binary data signal, wherein the data signal transmitted through the electrical backplane is based on the precoded binary data signal (Fig. 1, element 10 & Fig. 2, element "Precoder"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the AAPA in view of Ginzburg satisfies the limitations of the claim.

In regards to Claims 3-5, 7 & 19, the AAPA in view of Ginzburg discloses a method of processing data signals as described above. Ginzburg further discloses filtering the data signal prior to interpreting the data signal as the duobinary data signal wherein the filtering is implemented before transmission through the electrical backplane (Fig. 1, element 14 & Fig. 2, element 30) wherein the filtering comprises equalizing filtering (Fig. 5 & Fig. 3) {Interpretation: As per the instant application wherein the equalization filter reshapes the amplitude and phase of the signal prior to transmission, this function is performed by the filter (Fig. 1, element 14 & Fig. 3) of the reference}. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the AAPA in view of Ginzburg satisfies the

10/727,450 Art Unit: 2611

limitations of the claim. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that implementing a low pad filter in digital domain is implemented using a FIR filter and this is implemented in the filter as described in Ginzburg so as to be able to implement a digital filter so as to be able to vary the parameters (filter characteristics) as desired by the user before the transmission of the data.

In regards to Claims 8-9 & 21-23, the AAPA in view of Ginzburg discloses a method (system) of processing data signals as described above. Ginzburg further discloses filtering delays a first copy of the data signal (Fig. 2, element 20); and adds the delayed first copy to a second copy of the data signal to generate the filtered data signal (Fig. 2, element 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the AAPA in view of Ginzburg satisfies the limitations of the claim. Furthermore, attenuation is inherent in any filtering process.

In regards to Claims 10 & 24, the AAPA in view of Ginzburg discloses a method (system) of processing data signals as described above. Ginzburg further discloses a duobinary-to-binary conversion applied to the received data signal (Column 3, lines 20-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the AAPA in view of Ginzburg satisfies the limitations of the claim.

In regards to Claims 16 & 27, the AAPA in view of Ginzburg discloses a method (system) of processing data signals as described above. Ginzburg further discloses

10/727,450 Art Unit: 2611

precoding a binary data signal, wherein the data signal transmitted through the electrical backplane is based on the precoded binary data signal (Fig. 1, element 10 & Fig. 2, element "Precoder"); filtering the data signal prior to interpreting the data signal as the duobinary data signal (Fig. 1, element 14 & Fig. 2, element 30); and applying duobinary-to-binary conversion to the received data signal to generate a binary data signal (Column 3, lines 20-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the AAPA in view of Ginzburg satisfies the limitations of the claim.

 Claims 6 (method) & 20 (system) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Ginzburg et al. (5,408,500) and further in view of Humann (6,734,759).

In regards to Claims 6 & 20, the AAPA in view of Ginzburg discloses a method of processing data signals as described above. However, AAPA in view of Ginzburg does not disclose emphasize high-frequency components in the data signal and flatten group delay of the electrical backplane.

Humann discloses an equalization filter implemented between the digital signal source and a signal receiver for providing equalization caused by the transmission path (Column 1, lines 40-65) wherein the filter provides a high pass characteristic (Abstract, lines 1-9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Humann teaches a high pass filter for equalization of the degradation caused by the transmission path and this is implemented in the method as described in the AAPA in view of Ginzburg so as to

10/727,450 Art Unit: 2611

be able to minimize the effects of channel degradation on the transmitted data thus increasing the reliability of the received data and further minimizing the complexity of the receiver. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the filter provide a flatten group delay so as to avoid increasing the intersymbol interference due to the filter.

5. Claim 11-14, 17 & 25-26 & 28 (system) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Ginzburg et al. (5,408,500) and further in view of Murray et al. (GB 2,217,957).

In regards to Claims 11-14, 17, 25-26 & 28, the AAPA in view of Ginzburg discloses a method (system) of processing data signals as described above. Ginzburg further discloses the data signal is an NRZ binary data (Column 2, lines 40-50). However, AAPA in view of Ginzburg does not disclose the D/B conversion comprises a splitter, comparing amplitude of the received data signal with first and second threshold voltages to generate first and second binary streams; and applying a logic function to the first and second binary streams to generate the binary data signal wherein the logic function comprises an exclusive-OR (XOR) or exclusive-NOR (XNOR) function.

Murray discloses a D/B conversion comprising a splitter (Fig. 1) {Interpretation: The reference in Fig. 1, shows splitting the received signal and inputting the split signal into a plurality of diodes}; comparing amplitude of the received data signal with first and second threshold voltages to generate first and second binary streams (Fig. 1, elements "CP1" & "CP2"); and applying a logic function to the first and

10/727,450 Art Unit: 2611

second binary streams to generate the binary data signal wherein the logic function comprises an exclusive-OR (XOR) or exclusive-NOR (XNOR) function (Fig. 1, element "G"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Murray teaches D/B conversion comprises comparing amplitude of the received data signal with first and second threshold voltages to generate first and second binary streams; and applying a logic function to the first and second binary streams to generate the binary data signal wherein the logic function comprises an exclusive-OR (XOR) or exclusive-NOR (XNOR) function and this is implemented in the method as described in AAPA in view of Ginzburg so as to be able to decode the received duobinary signal.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number is 571-272-5509. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Chieh M. Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/727,450 Art Unit: 2611

> Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.